### 2014 Current Fiscal Year Report: Defense Legal Policy Board

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 06:22:56 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Defense 2014

3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA Committee No.

Defense Legal Policy Board 80471

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Year? Charter Date Date

No 04/28/2014 04/28/2016

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

Yes 09/30/2014

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?
Continue No Enacted

**11. Establishment Authority** Agency Authority

13. 14.

12. Specific Establishment Authority Effective Commitee Presidential?

Date Type

Director for Administration and Management Memorandum, April 6, 2012 04/06/2012 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

**16a. Total Number of** No Reports for this

**Reports** FiscalYear

17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0

**Meetings and Dates** 

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$600.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$600.00	\$0.00

### 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

At the direction of the Secretary of Defense, the Deputy Secretary of Defense, or the Department of Defense General Counsel, the Board will examine and advise on legal and related legal policy matters within DoD, the achievement of DoD policy goals through legislation and regulations, and other assigned matters. In carrying out its duties, the Board shall consider, as appropriate:a. Issues and policies relating to legal and related matters;b. The interplay between laws and regulations, on the one hand, and the achievement of policy goals, on the other;c. Identifying and evaluating the process for compliance with such laws and regulations;d. Proposing necessary revisions to the Department's policy goals, and to laws, regulations or procedures intended to achieve such goals; ande. Any other research and analysis of topics raised by the Secretary of Defense, the Deputy Secretary of Defense, or the General Counsel of the Department of Defense.

### 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Board shall be composed of not more than 15 members appointed by the Secretary of Defense; all appointments are renewed on an annual basis by the Secretary of Defense. The Department of Defense, in selecting individual members for this advisory committee, looks for individuals who have distinguished backgrounds in law, investigations, military command, governmental organizations, or related fields. The Department of Defense has found that viewing complex issues through a multidiscipline advisory committee provides the Department and, more importantly, the American public, with a broader understanding on which to base subsequent policy decisions. Candidates for appointment come from the private and public sectors, and individual appointments are based on the subject matter being reviewed by the Board and a review of the individual's experiences and knowledge.

### 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Estimated Number of Meetings per Year - 2.

# 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Board's independent advice is essential in aiding the Secretary of Defense and his principal advisors in addressing numerous legal policy matters facing the Department of Defense. The DoD OGC along with the Advisory Committee Management Officer determined no existing DoD advisory committee, FACA or non-FACA, is available to assist the Secretary of Defense or his principal advisors in these matters.

### 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Meetings are not closed to the public unless the Department of Defense determines that items on the planned agenda meet the closed meeting provisions of 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c). Pursuant to DoD policy closed meetings can only be authorized by the Board's DoD Sponsor, and only after a written consultation with the Department of Defense Office of General Counsel.

### 21. Remarks

There were no meetings for FY14 as there was no new tasking.

### **Designated Federal Officer**

James R Schwenk Designated Federal Officer

Committee Members	Start	End		Mambar Designation
Committee Members	Start	Ena	Occupation	Member Designation Special Government
Bellinger, John	10/04/201	2 10/03/2017	4 Partner, Arnold & Porter	Employee (SGE)
Definition, 30th	10/04/201	2 10/03/2015	T artifer, Arriold & Forter	Member
				Special Government
Dell'Orto, Daniel	10/04/201	2 10/03/2017	4 General Counsel, AM General	Employee (SGE)
Dell'Olto, Darliel	10/04/201	2 10/03/2015	General Courisei, Aivi General	Member
			Senior Vice President, Chief Legal and	Special Government
DiBattiste, Carol	10/03/201	2 10/02/2014	4 Compliance Officer at Education	Employee (SGE)
Dibattiolo, Garoi	10/00/201	10/02/201-	Management Corp	Member
			Management Corp	Special Government
Fidell, Eugene	10/03/201	2 10/02/2014	Florence Rogatz Visiting Lecturer in Law Yale Law School	Employee (SGE)
ridoli, Lagorio	10/00/2011			Member
				Special Government
Gorelick, Jamie	10/25/201	2 10/24/2014	4 Partner, WilmerHale	Employee (SGE)
	,,		Tradition, Williams	Member
				Special Government
Huffman, Walter	10/09/2012	2 10/08/2014	Dean Emeritus and Professor of Law,	Employee (SGE)
·			Texas Tech School of Law	Member
				Special Government
McPherson, James	10/03/2012	2 10/02/2014	Executive Director, National Association	Employee (SGE)
			of Attorneys General	Member
				Special Government
Miller, Judith	10/01/201	2 09/30/2014	Former DoD General Counsel	Employee (SGE)
·				Member
				Special Government
Parrino, Roger	10/11/201	2 10/10/2014	Retired NYPD (criminal investigator)	Employee (SGE)
				Member
				Regular Government
Rich, Michael	10/01/201	2 09/30/2014	4 Assistant United States Attorney	Employee (RGE)
				Member
				Special Government
Smith, Jeffrey	10/03/2012	2 10/02/2014	Partner, Arnold & Porter	Employee (SGE)
				Member
At Lee, William				Regular Government
[Review and Assessment of the	10/01/201:	2 09/30/2014	4 Air Force	Employee (RGE)
Application of Military Justice in		22.20,201		Member
Deployed Areas]				

Chiarelli, Peter [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/04/2012 10/03/201	4 CEO, One Mind for Research	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Fidell, Eugene [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/03/2012 10/02/201	Florence Rogatz Visiting Lecturer in Law, Yale Law School	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Huffman, Walter [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/09/2012 10/08/201	Dean Emeritus and Professor of Law, Texas Tech School of Law	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Kovats, Charles [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/01/2012 09/30/201	4 Assistant U.S. Attorney	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Lederer, Calvin [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/01/2012 09/30/201	Deputy Staff Judge Advocate, U.S. Coast Guard	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Miller, Judith [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/01/2012 09/30/201	4 Former DoD General	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Parrino, Roger [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/11/2012 10/10/201	Retired New York Police Department (criminal investigator)	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Rosen, Richard [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/19/2012 10/18/201	4 Professor, Texas Tech School of Law	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Sattler, John [Review and Assessment of the Application of Military Justice in Deployed Areas]	10/01/2012 09/30/201	Former Director, Strategic Plans and Policy, Joint Staff	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

**Number of Committee Members Listed: 21** 

### **Narrative Description**

The Board, through the General Counsel of the Department of Defense, provides the Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of Defense, independent, informed advice, opinions, and recommendations concerning matters referred to the Board relating to legal and related legal policy matters within the Department of Defense, the achievement of Departmental policy goals through legislation and regulations, and other matters as assigned.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	

Major policy changes Advance in scientific research Effective grant making mproved service delivery ncreased customer satisfaction mplementation of laws or regulatory requirements Other	
Outcome Comments	
The Board has made its recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and they remain pending.	n
What are the cost savings associated with this committee?	
Checked if App	olies
None	
Jnable to Determine	✓
Jnder \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
The Board has made its recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and they remain bending.	n
What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this commit	ee
or the life of the committee?	
Number of Recommendations Comments	
The Board has made its recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and they remain	n

The Board has made its recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and they remain pending.

What is the approximate  $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$  of these recommendations that have been or will be  $\underline{\text{Fully}}$  implemented by the agency?

## % of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments The Board has made its recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and they remain pending. What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency? 0% % of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments The Board has made its recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and they remain pending. Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered? Yes No Not Applicable **Agency Feedback Comments** N/A What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation? Checked if Applies Reorganized Priorities Reallocated resources Issued new regulation Proposed legislation Approved grants or other payments Other

### **Action Comments**

The Board has made its recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and they remain pending.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

### **Grant Review Comments**

N/A

# How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation? Checked if Applies Contact DFO Online Agency Web Site Online Committee Web Site Online GSA FACA Web Site Publications

### **Access Comments**

N/A

Other